

7.1. Factor Analysis

Table 4. Factor analysis

| Variable | Factor | Loading | CR | AVE |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|-------|
| Positive affective perception | Encouraging | 0.9 | 0.943 | 0.804 |
| | Inspiring | 0.877 | | |
| | Enthusiastic | 0.889 | | |
| | Positive | 0.921 | | |
| Negative affective perception | Nervous | 0.722 | 0.913 | 0.726 |
| | Anxious | 0.864 | | |
| | Angry | 0.898 | | |
| | Sad | 0.911 | | |
| Strong relational trust | Sincere | 0.898 | 0.893 | 0.678 |
| | Transparent | 0.868 | | |
| | Affectionate | 0.819 | | |
| | Authoritative | 0.694 | | |
| Weak relational trust | Professional | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.671 |
| | Objective | 0.877 | | |
| | Teamwork | 0.763 | | |
| | Public | 0.812 | | |
| Party media preference | Choice | 0.855 | 0.926 | 0.758 |
| | Cares | 0.855 | | |
| | Likes | 0.869 | | |
| | Pays attention | 0.903 | | |

7.2. Direct Effect Regression

7.3. Mediating Effect Test

Table 5. Model Validity

| Variables | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| (1) Positive Affective Perception | 0.897 | | | |
| (2) Negative Affective Perception | -0.071 (1.847) | 0.852 | | |
| (3) Strong Relational Trust | 0.813 (1.064) | -0.080 (1.065) | 0.823 | |
| | $t = 804.740$ | $t = -75.369$ | $t = 774.921$ | |
| | 0.754 (0.915) | -0.091 (0.915) | 0.839 (0.915) | 0.819 (0.915) |
| (4) Weak Relational Trust | $t = 823.862$ | $t = -99.364$ | $t = 915.564$ | $t = 894.207$ |
| | 0.754 (0.906) | -0.049 (0.906) | 0.786 (0.906) | 0.766 (0.906) |
| (5) Party Media Preference | $t = 831.968$ | $t = -53.866$ | $t = 867.102$ | $t = 846.585$ |

Table 6. Regression of Relational Trust on Affective Perception

| VARIABLES | (1) | (2) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Affective Perception | Affective Perception |
| Relational Trust | 0.409*** (0.0184) | 0.403*** (0.0190) |
| Gender | - | ✓ |
| Education | - | ✓ |
| Political Affiliation | - | ✓ |
| Constant | 0.644*** (0.0295) | 0.913*** (0.0588) |
| Observations | 1438 | 1424 |
| R-squared | 0.256 | 0.272 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 7. Regression of Relational Trust on Party Media Preference

| VARIABLES | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference |
| Relational Trust | 0.484*** (0.00917) | 0.486*** (0.00957) | 0.456*** (0.0109) |
| Affective Perception | - | - | ✓ |
| Gender | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Education | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Political Affiliation | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Constant | -0.00598 (0.0147) | 0.0373 (0.0297) | -0.0301 (0.0318) |
| Observations | 1438 | 1424 | 1424 |
| R-squared | 0.660 | 0.664 | 0.672 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 8. Regression of Affective Perception on Party Media Preference

| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| VARIABLES | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference |
| Affective Perception | 0.360*** (0.0170) | 0.348*** (0.0173) | 0.0739*** (0.0133) |
| Relational Trust | - | - | ✓ |
| Gender | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Education | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Political Affiliation | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Constant | 0.190*** (0.0223) | 0.199*** (0.0469) | -0.0301 (0.0318) |
| Observations | 1438 | 1424 | 1424 |
| R-squared | 0.239 | 0.263 | 0.672 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 9. Regression of Two Types of Relational Trust on Two Types of Affective Perception

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| VARIABLES | Positive Affective Perception | Positive Affective Perception | Negative Affective Perception | Negative Affective Perception |
| Strong Relational Trust | 0.628*** (0.0281) | 0.616*** (0.0282) | -0.0196 (0.0571) | -0.0401 (0.0567) |
| Weak Relational Trust | 0.273*** (0.0299) | 0.280*** (0.0302) | -0.0775 (0.0606) | -0.0619 (0.0606) |
| Gender | - | ✓ | - | ✓ |
| Education | - | ✓ | - | ✓ |
| Political Affiliation | - | ✓ | - | ✓ |
| Constant | 0.0724** (0.0132) | 0.119*** (0.0264) | 0.587*** (0.0267) | 0.804*** (0.0530) |
| Observations | 1438 | 1424 | 1438 | 1424 |
| R-squared | 0.692 | 0.690 | 0.006 | 0.036 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 10. Regression of Two Types of Relational Trust on Party Media Preference

| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| VARIABLES | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference |
| Strong Relational Trust | 0.537*** (0.0318) | 0.527*** (0.0318) | 0.342*** (0.0355) |
| Weak Relational Trust | 0.428*** (0.0338) | 0.442*** (0.0340) | 0.359*** (0.0338) |
| Positive Affective Perception | - | - | ✓ |
| Negative Affective Perception | - | - | ✓ |
| Gender | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Education | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Political Affiliation | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Constant | -0.00183 (0.0149) | 0.0398 (0.0297) | -0.0104 (0.0311) |
| Observations | 1438 | 1424 | 1424 |
| R-squared | 0.660 | 0.665 | 0.689 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 11. Regression of Two Types of Affective Perception on Party Media Preference

| VARIABLES | (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference | Party Media Preference |
| Positive Affective Perception | 0.822*** (0.0185) | 0.812*** (0.0193) | 0.300*** (0.0289) |
| Negative Affective Perception | 0.00511 (0.0164) | 0.00706 (0.0167) | 0.0179 (0.0144) |
| Strong Relational Trust | - | - | ✓ |
| Weak Relational Trust | - | - | ✓ |
| Gender | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Education | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Political Affiliation | - | ✓ | ✓ |
| Constant | 0.114*** (0.0174) | 0.107*** (0.0354) | -0.0104 (0.0311) |
| Observations | 1438 | 1424 | 1424 |
| R-squared | 0.579 | 0.581 | 0.689 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 12. Bootstrap Test of Mediation Effect in Model 1

| (1) | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Mediation Path | Relational Trust → Affective Perception → Party Media Preference |
| Indirect Effect | 0.0298*** (0.00550) |
| Direct Effect | 0.456*** (0.0142) |
| Proportion of Mediation Effect | 6% |
| Observations | 1424 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 13. Bootstrap Test of Mediation Effect in Model 2

| Mediation Path | (1) | (2) |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | Strong Relational Trust → Positive Affective Perception → Party Media Preference | Weak Relational Trust → Positive Affective Perception → Party Media Preference |
| Indirect Effect | 0.311*** (0.0383) | 0.363*** (0.0339) |
| Direct Effect | 0.560*** (0.0468) | 0.547*** (0.0433) |
| Proportion of Mediation Effect | 36% | 40% |
| Observations | 1424 | 1424 |

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1